

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,668	10/17/2003	Gregg L. Sheddy	TN-3305	2621
75	90 07/25/2005		EXAM	INER
Black & Deck			BLAKE, CA	ROLYN T
701 E. Joppa Ro			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Towson, MD	21286		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.5		SP
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/688,668	SHEDDY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carolyn T. Blake	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>3 May 2005</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	•
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-65 is/are withdr		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		•
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2004</u> is/ar	re: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ob	ejected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		, · ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	n received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.

Attachment(s)

	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 🔲	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

) 🗌	Information Disclosure	Statement(s)	(PTO-1449	or PTO/S
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Patent Applic

5)	Notice	of Inform	nal Patent	Application	(PTO-152)

6)		Other:	
----	--	--------	--

Application/Control Number: 10/688,668 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on May 13,
 2005.

- 2. The objection to the specification is withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 3. The objection to claim 1 is withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 4. The rejection to claim 1 under 35 USC § 112 is withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 5. The text of those sections in Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# **Drawings**

- 6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 116 (FIG 26A).
- 7. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "41 ER" has been used to designate both a rib (FIG 10) and a rod (FIG 11).
- 8. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing

Application/Control Number: 10/688,668

Art Unit: 3724

sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

9. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "movable in direction" should be changed to - -movable in a direction- -. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee (6,272,961).

Regarding claim 1, Lee discloses a saw comprising: a base (50); a frame assembly (20) disposed on the base (50); a first rail (221) disposed on the frame assembly (20), the first rail (221) having a longitudinal axis; a table (30) slidingly disposed on the first rail (221), the table (30) being movable in a direction substantially parallel to the longitudinal axis; a saw assembly (60) disposed on at least one of the base (50) and the frame assembly (20), the saw assembly (60) comprising a support assembly (23/63), a motor assembly (41) pivotably supported by the support assembly (23/63), the motor assembly (41) being pivotable about a pivot axis substantially parallel to the longitudinal axis, and a cutting wheel (42) driven by the motor assembly (41), the cutting wheel (42)

Application/Control Number: 10/688,668

Art Unit: 3724

having a plane substantially parallel to the pivot axis; and a switch (45) electrically connected to the motor assembly (41) and disposed on the support assembly (23/63) so that, when the motor assembly (41) is pivoted about the pivot axis, the switch (45) remains stationary.

Regarding claim 2, Lee discloses the first rail (221) has a first end, and the table (30) is movable beyond the first end.

Regarding claim 3, Lee discloses the table (30) is movable beyond the base (50).

Regarding claim 4, Lee discloses the base (50) is formed as a tub.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Klingens (2,691,398). Lee fails to disclose the frame is made of aluminum. However, Klingens discloses a saw wherein the frame is made of aluminum. Aluminum is a good material choice for a sturdy component such as a frame because it can be easily used in casting operations. See col. 2, lines 29-34. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use aluminum for the frame, as disclosed by Klingens, on the Lee device because aluminum can be easily used in casting operations.

#### Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,668

Art Unit: 3724

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn T. Blake whose telephone number is (571) 272-4503. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM, alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CB

CB

July 18, 2005

Allan N. Shoap
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700